

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,640	04/20/2007	Alexander Mackerell	100413-5018	1522	
9629 MORGAN LE	7590 11/15/201 WIS & BOCKIUS LLF	EXAMINER			
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			POLANSKY, GREGG		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/15/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/582,640	MACKERELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregg Polansky	1629	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>09 November 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repolv must be filed within one of lollowing time

periods:
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office accitor, or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matiliar date of the final rejection, even if timely filled,

may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The No	otice of Appeal was filed on	. A brief in compliance with	37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of
filing th	e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.3)	7(a)), or any extension there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	ppeal. Since
a Notic	e of Appeal has been filed, any re	ply must be filed within the	time period set forth in 37	7 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMEN	ITS				

3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 - Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the ___ non-allowable claim(s).
 - 7.
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)
 will not be entered, or b)
 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: 21 and 22.

Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,7,8,10-13,18,19,21,22,24 and 25.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-6,9,14-17,20 and 23.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence flied after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellatils to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Gregg Polansky/ Examiner, Art Unit 1629

/James D Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments of independent Claim 2 (i.e., administration "to a patient in need thereof", and limiting the claim to compounds 73, 276 and 285) and the proposed amendments of Claims 7, 10, 11, 24 and 25 (i.e., changing the claim dependency from Claim 1 to Claim 2) considerably changes the scope of the claims requiring further consideration and search. Most significant is Applicants' addition of the limitation "to a patient in need thereof" which limits the claims to an in vivo method of administration whereas previously the claims encompassed both in vitro and in vivo methods of administration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are predicated, at least in part, on the amendments to the claims being entered. For the reasons discussed supra, said claim amendments are not entered.

Continuation of 13. Other: The previous Office action objected to Figure 1 and, in response, Applicants state that they have concurrently submitted a replacement set of drawing. No replacement drawings were found included with Applicants' papers filed 11/09/2011.